- 1		
1	GUIDO SAVERI (#22349)	
2	R. ALEXANDER SAVERI (#173102) CADIO ZIRPOLI (#179108)	
	SAVERI & SAVERI, INC.	
3	111 Pine Street, Suite 1700 San Francisco, CA 94111-5619	
4	Telephone: (415)-217-6810 Facsimile: (415)-217-6813	
5	guido@saveri.com rick@saveri.com	
6	STEVE W. BERMAN (pro hac vice)	
7	ANTHONY D. SHAPIRO (pro hac vice)	
8	GEORGE W. SAMPSON (pro hac vice) HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP	
9	1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, WA 98101	
10	Telephone: (206) 623-7292 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594	
11	FRED TAYLOR ISQUITH (pro hac vice)	
12	MARY JANE FAIT (pro hac vice) WOLF, HALDENSTEIN, ADLER,	
13	FREEMAN & HERZ 270 Madison Avenue	
14	New York, NY 10016 Telephone: (212) 545-4600	
- 1	Facsimile: (212) 545-4652	
15 16	Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs	
17	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
18		RICT OF CALIFORNIA
j	NORTHERN DISTI	der of california
19		_
20	In Re DYNAMIC RANDOM ACCESS MEMORY (DRAM) ANTITRUST	Master File No. M-02-1486-PJH
21	LITIGATION	MDL No. 1486
22	This Document Relates to:	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
23	ALL ACTIONS	ORDER RE AUTHENTICATION AND ADMISSIBILITY OF NON-
24	DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS	TRANSACTIONAL DOCUMENTS
25		
26		
27		
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE AUTHENTICAT	
20	AND ADMISSIBILITY OF NON-TRANSACTIONAL DOCUMER Master File No. M-02-1486-PJH	NTS
	OHS East:160087115.1	

3

8

13

11

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

The undersigned Defendants and the Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs (hereinafter "Class Plaintiffs") stipulate and agree as follows:

- The parties met and conferred on September 22, 2006, pursuant to this Court's Order. Having considered prior discussions, the procedure ordered by Judge Spero, and this Court's Order of September 18, 2006, the parties have hereby agreed upon a process to minimize the expenditure of time and resources of the Court, and the parties, during dispositive motion practice and trial.
- 2. All documents that have been produced by Plaintiffs, Defendants, and Third Parties pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26-37, marked at the time of production with Bates numbers by the producing party, and submitted in connection with dispositive motion practice or identified for admission into evidence at trial, are presumed to be authentic under Federal Rule of Evidence 901, with the exception of handwritten notes or other handwritten documents. The parties also reserve objections with regard to the completeness of documents, including emails, and specifically whether an email or other document is complete, or needs context from another email contained in an email string. Pursuant to this Stipulation, it is presumed that documents, emails and attachments thereto are authentic under Federal Rule of Evidence 901, unless a party makes an affirmative showing through a timely objection that the document or email is not complete, or that an attachment is not the actual document which was attached to the email. All other objections based on authenticity with respect to the documents specified above are waived.
- 3. For purposes of dispositive motion practice only, each party stipulates that any document which was produced from its/his/her own files, and marked at the time of production with Bates numbers by the producing party, and submitted by any party in connection with dispositive motion practice, will be admissible, to the extent it would be at trial, absent a timely objection by a party hereto. An objection is deemed timely if made 10 days before any motion hearing. The making of a timely objection to the admissibility of any document will

1

6 7 8

10

9

12

11

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

require the court to resolve the objection prior to relying on the document in determining the merits of the parties' dispositive motions. Any ruling on the admissibility of documents in connection with dispositive motions will be made by the Court. The purpose of this agreement is to streamline the dispositive motion practice, and limit objections to admissibility to the greatest extent possible without prejudicing any party's right to object.

- 4. For purposes of the pretrial statement and the trial of this matter, the parties hereto stipulate that no later than December 20, 2006, they will exchange CDs, or other acceptable electronic media, containing (a) lists of the documents each party intends to use at the time of trial and (b) electronic versions of the documents (to the extent they were originally produced in electronic form), sorted by party. Defendants and Plaintiffs shall collectively be allocated no more than 750 documents per side. Each party hereto shall file a response to the list provided by January 19, 2007, identifying any documents which that party agrees are admissible. If the parties are not able to resolve disputes as to admissibility, any party may immediately notice the depositions of custodians of records commencing on January 26, 2007. Depositions of foreign parties may be taken telephonically or by videoconference. Discovery will re-open for a period of thirty (30) days starting on January 26, 2007 to accommodate the taking of any such depositions.
- 5. All objections other than those described herein, including, without limitation, objections regarding relevancy and hearsay, are preserved. Exhibits will not be admitted at trial in the absence of a sponsoring witness who either authored or received the document or otherwise has some knowledge about the document.

Dated: October 4, 2006

Bruce L. Simon

COTCHETT, PITRE, SIMON & McCARTHY

stem Klesua for

840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010 Telephone: (650) 697-6000 Facsimile: (650) 697-0577

On Behalf of the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs And the Class

1	Dated: October 4, 2006	
2		Saul R. Suffin
3		Robert B. Pringle
4		Paul R. Griffin Jonathan E. Swartz
5		THELAN REID & PRIEST, LLP 101 Second Street, Suite 1800
6		San Francisco, CA 94105-3601 Telephone: 415-371-1200
7		Facsimile: 415-371-1211
8		Counsel for Defendant NEC Electronics America, Inc.
9	Dated: October, 2006	TVDC Liteti ones America, me.
10	Daicd. October, 2000	
11		Joel S. Sanders
12		GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP One Montgomery Street, Suite 3100
13		San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415-393-8200
14		Facsimile: 415-986-5309
15		Counsel for Defendants Micron Technology, Inc. And Micron Semiconductor
16		Products, inc., and on behalf of the other Nonsettling Defendants
17	Dated: October, 2006	
18		Vance Sinn for
19		Harrison J. Frahn IV SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP
20		2550 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304
21		Telephone: 650-251-5000 Facsimile: 650-251-5002
22		
23		Counsel for Defendants Elpida Memory, Inc. and Elpida Memory (USA), Inc.
24		
25		
26		
27	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE AUTHENTICATION	
28	AND ADMISSIBILITY OF NON- TRANSACTIONAL DOCUMENTS Master File No. M-02-1486-PJH	

1	Dated: October, 2006
2	
3	Robert B. Pringle Paul R. Griffin
4	Jonathan E. Swartz
5	THELAN REID & PRIEST, LLP 101 Second Street, Suite 1800
6	San Francisco, CA 94105-3601 Telephone: 415-371-1200
7	Facsimile: 415-371-1211
8	Counsel for Defendant
9	NEC Electronics America, Inc.
10	Dated: October 4, 2006
11	Sender
12	Joel S. Sänders GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP
13	One Montgomery Street, Suite 3100 San Francisco, CA 94104
14	Telephone: 415-393-8200 Facsimile: 415-986-5309
15	Counsel for Defendants Micron
16	Technology, Inc. And Micron Semiconductor Products, Inc., and on bahalf of the other
17	Nonsettling Defendants
18	Dated: October, 2006
19	Harrison J. Frahn IV
20	SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP 2550 Hanover Street
21	Palo Alto, CA 94304
	Telephone: 650-251-5000 Facsimile: 650-251-5002
22	
23	Counsel for Defendants Elpida Memory (USA), Inc.
24	
25	
26	
27	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
28	RE AUTHENTICATION AND ADMISSIBILITY OF NON- TRANSACTIONAL DOCUMENTS Master File No. M-02-1486-PJH

Case 4:02-md-01486-PJH Document 1113 Filed 10/16/06 Page 6 of 9

,	
2	Dated: October, 2006
3	
4	William S. Farmer, Jr.
5	COLLETTE & ERICKSON LLP 555 California Street, 43 rd Floor San Francisco, CA 94104-1791
6	Telephone: 415-788-4646 Facsimile: 415-788-6929
7	Counsel for Winbond Defendants
8	Dated: October, 2006
9	Dated. October 2000
10	William M. Goodman TOPEL & GOODMAN
11	832 Sansome Street San Francisco, California 94111
12	Telephone: (415) 421-6140 Facsimile: (415) 398-5030
13	Counsel for Mosel Vitelic Inc. and Mosel Vitelic
14 15	Corporation
16	Dated: October 2, 2006 Howard Ulman
17	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP The Orrick Building
18	405 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105
19	Telephone: 415-773-5700 Facsimile: 415-773-5759
20	Course of from Defendance Manage To about a
21	Counsel for Defendants Nanya Technology Corporation and Nanya Technology Corporation USA
22	Nunya Technology Corporation OSA
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
28	RE AUTHENTICATION AND ADMISSIBILITY OF NON- TRANSACTIONAL DOCUMENTS Master File No. M-02-1486-PJH

	Case 4:02-md-01486-PJH Document 1113 Filed 10/16/06 Page 9 of 9
1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	
3	Good cause appearing, the above stipulation of the parties regarding authentication
4	and admissibility of non-transactional documents is hereby adopted by the Court.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated:
7	
8	Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton United States District Judge Northern District of California
9	Northern District of California
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	CTIBULATION AND IRRODOCEDI ODDED DE AVENUSVERO ATION
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE AUTHENTICATION AND ADMISSIBILITY OF NON-TRANSACTIONAL DOCUMENTS